UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,906	05/26/2006	Kohji Fukatsu	20039.0005USWO	7379
	7590 02/16/201 U MANN, MUELLER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2902			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/580,906	FUKATSU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	1621		

		SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	1621						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE RE	THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
ар ар foi	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on replication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper reformed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE)	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
	The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b)		dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the period of extending the scalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of					
fili No	ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter ptice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
	MENTS								
	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, $rak{k}$ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause					
` '	\bigcup They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**							
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. 🔲 TI	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. 🛛 A	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	112 2 nd and Double patenting reje	<u>ction</u> .						
no	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-					
ho Th	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
CI	aim(s) objected to:								
	aim(s) rejected: <u>6,10,14,15 and 18</u> . aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
<u>AFFIDA</u>	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
en	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. 🛛 T	The request for reconsideration has been considered bur See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. 🔲 N	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. 🔲 C	Other:								
		/Sudhakar Katakam/							
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1621						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants amended the claims, specifically deleted the heterocylic group for the ring C from the claim langauge, which changes the scope of independent claim and therefore, requires additional search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of applicants amendments to the claims, the previous 112 2nd rejection has been withdrawn. Double patenting rejection has also been withdrawn in view of filed terminal disclaimer.

However, applicants amendments to the claims, specifically deleted the heterocylic group for the ring C from the claim langauge, changes the scope of independent claim and therefore, requires additional search for further examination purpose.